

Trulford Nov 9th '92

Dear Sam.

I use paper and ink. I am off  
for Lake Superior tomorrow and must write  
now or never. I had yemas asking for notes  
of G. Mt. but I have a better thing than that.  
I have made the acquaintance of the Min.  
Supt. who went out for the Co after the  
break up. He has a great opinion of the  
property and says he visited it with Pat-  
ton of the Baranza mines and figured  
up costs of rejuvenating the property with  
P. H. sink a vertical shaft 600 ft below  
No 6 & open stations with pumps & hoist  
they thought would cost \$5,000.

He says the ore on the 6<sup>th</sup> was poor - <sup>#3</sup>  
stuff but on the 5<sup>th</sup> & above, in the good  
part of the shoots, it ran from <sup>#5</sup> to <sup>#10</sup>  
and paid by dividends. On the 6<sup>th</sup> level  
there was no good ore except in two places  
just beyond the Sulphuret shoot (which  
is the innermost, the 3 shoots being the  
Blake, Blind, & Sulphuret, going Westward)  
In these places he saw small shafts  
and I remember they are indicated on  
the log tracing. Or one of them is at least.  
He will try to get me the assay of the shafts  
but says the ore improved going down and  
thinks the shafts tap the top of new  
shoots.

Of course this statement imposes on

as the necessity of proving the facts.

I have not my notes by me at the moment and if I had I doubt if you could find the points without the piloting of the Italian foreman. <sup>John Chiodo</sup> He will have to show you where the ore shoots begin and one of the reasons why your work is necessary is that I had no way of proving what he said.

Enough work should be done at the beginning and end of each ore shoot to ascertain whether ore is really there and the crosscut for sampling should be run about <sup>50</sup> 75 feet nearer the center of the ore shoot.

My friend made the assertion that No 6 was run "in barren ground" entirely, for

2020/01/00150

he classes \$3 each in that category, but that the tops of 2 new shoots (i.e. pay shoots) were found. The one body at the end of No 6 he classes as worthless.

Another fact he gave me was that the ground was never stoped up @ No 5. not being worth it. Cornell said the ground was barren for 100 ft but good above & below it.

On another point I must warn you. Cornell said the Co issued \$33,000 worth of Bonds, my friend says towards \$15,000 but does not remember clearly. Please don't hint to Cornell that we have this item. I have not reached the real facts yet.

I enclose a sketch of No 6 Tunnel as Ghidotti showed it to me. I have shown this to my friend who says the 35 ft shaft is not he thinks the one where ore was found. That is further in, somewhere about the Sulphuret shoot. I think Ghidotti will know.

The thing I cannot explain is the disappearance of the Sulphuret shoot, as I have marked it it is only 170 ft long. Cornell & Ghidotti thought the quartz in No 2 XC, was the continuation of it but now that I have satisfied myself that it is not I wonder where the Sulphuret, the biggest of all has gone. It may be that